

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Abstraction, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.Uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,768	12/26/2001	Michael J. Tanguay	534-CIP	1848
25559 75	590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER	
ATMI, INC. 7 COMMERCE DRIVE			ZERVIGON, RUDY	
DANBURY, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DAME ALAK CD 31/0/0002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A9-		
, <u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/033,768	TANGUAY, MICHAE	TANGUAY, MICHAEL J.		
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rudy Zervigon	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendmen	application. A proper reply t which places the applica	y to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b	)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	e mailing date of the final rejections SOF THE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period for ffice later than three months after	ing amount of the fee. The approor reply originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	the period set forth in issal of the appeal.			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered if	because:				
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims	s.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S			T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOL	_ELY to issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	):				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24 and 50-52</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 25-49.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ o	disapproved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)			
10. Other:					
<del></del>					

Corgulation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 7. NOTE: The Examiner maintains all rejections as presented in the Final Action. Applicant's argument's are identical to arguments presented in prior amendments. Applicant's definition of "glass" remains supportive of the rejections provided in the Final Rejection in view of Suda. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections presented in the final office action.

JEFFRIE R. LUND PRIMARY EXAMINER